## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/525,797	ANAGNOSTOU ET AL.	ANAGNOSTOU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Susan Ungar	1642			

	LAMINITE	Art Ollic		
	Susan Ungar	1642		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Susan Ungar.	(3) George Sigo (4) Shawna Canno	unaj		
(2) Christopher Yaen	(4) <u>Shawna</u> Canno	Lenion		
Date of Interview: <u>August 11, 2005</u> .	•			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∐ was not reached. h)∐ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
SUbmit Hy Burney	record. Application to	cont wo	me,	
SAID rejections.				

Interview Summary

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required